

Tables to Accompany 2010 Assessment Report  
 Science with Chemistry Curriculum  
 Part 2 of 4

Table 12. Organic Lab Practical

	% of Students						
	2010			2009	2008	2007	2006
Method Identification	FT	Adjunct	All				
≥ 2 methods	100	100	100	88	70.3	66.7	76.7
1 method				11	25.7	29.4	21.1
0 methods				2	4.1	3.9	2.2
<b>Date Reasonable</b>							
Both methods	74	71	73	80	78.5	64.7	80.0
One method	23	24	23	18	19.0	29.4	18.9
None	3	5	4	2	2.5	5.9	1.1
<b>Identification of Unknown</b>							
Correct	77	76	77	70	72	71	80
Incorrect	23	24	23	30	28	29	20
<b>Data Analysis</b>							
Competent or higher	66	43	57				
Superior	37	5	25				
Competent	29	38	32				
Developing	31	57	41				
Unacceptable	3	0	2				
<b>Support</b>							
Competent or higher	89	43	71				
Superior	54	19	41	45*	25.3*	11.8*	45.5*
Competent	34	24	30				
Developing	11	57	29				
Unacceptable	0	0	0				

\* Classified as completely supported which would be equivalent to "superior."

**Table 13. CHEM 1215 Polyprotic Acid Assessment**

	<b>% of Students</b>			
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
Correctly identified the unknown	89	83	81	91
Supported with convincing conclusion	64	40 (37*)	43 (27*)	32 (40*)
Competently performed data analysis	54			
*These were the students who were categorized as “incompletely supporting” their identification. We thought that a significant fraction of these were in fact very close to rating “completely supporting.”				